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Multiple Courtyard Mansions of Dhaka: Form and Context
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A number of splendid mansions were built in Dhaka in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. A hybrid of cultural patterns, they borrowed monumental formal elements from contemporary and classical European styles at the same time they employed indigenous spatial arrangements. In particular, as in traditional Bengali houses, their interior areas were laid out around courtyards, which played many roles and allowed the mansions to maintain an internal human scale. Today, such dichotomous houses remain the socio-cultural testament to the peculiar circumstances of the native social elite of the period. This article analyzes the form and spatial arrangement of the multicourt mansions and attempts to link them to their socio-cultural context.

The architectural vocabulary of Bengal (understood to include both contemporary Bangladesh and Indian West Bengal) has emerged over several periods of socioeconomic and political development. The city of Dhaka flourished as the area's capital on several occasions, and as a significant center of trade, education and culture. Many intricately decorated mansions were built during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, distinguished by their huge floor areas, articulate details, and superb craftsmanship. These mansions adopted a monumentality expressive of imperialism, yet their spatial arrangements largely conformed to the patterns of traditional Bengali houses.

Today many of these mansions have either been abandoned by their original users or have been left in a dilapidated condition due to misuse, disuse and neglect. Nevertheless,
they may be understood as a distinct hybrid typology born of
the social and political aspirations of an emerging native elite
under colonial rule.

THE COURTYARD IN TRADITIONAL BENGALI HOUSES

House form changes with changes in society, culture and
need; however, some norms of spatial arrangement may sur-
 vive repeated periods of change. The courtyard is one such ele-
ment in Bengali architecture. The traditional Bengali house
has long consisted of rooms or inward-looking one-room huts
around a court. In well-off households, one hut, fronted by an
outer court, might serve as the formal setting for social activi-
ties. Other huts, preferably south- or east-facing, would be
used as family bedrooms. Meanwhile, the kitchen and sanitary
areas would be kept to the west, a little apart from the house
proper. Hut structures would typically have pitched roofs and
small windows, and sometimes be fronted by verandahs.
Conceptually and functionally, such compounds were divided
into zones, which defined progressive levels of privacy and
accessibility.2 A central organizing principal was an opposition
between domains: front and back, public and private, formal
and informal, dry and wet, dirty and clean, etc. This bi-polarity
served as a guide to the location of various spaces, and still
plays an important role in determining house form (fig. 1).3

As the focus and facilitator of household and socio-cul-
tural activities in such a dwelling, the court might play many
roles: transitory space, religious space, social place, climate
modifier, domain divider, etc. In rural areas, with each family
identified with a single court, the presence of multiple courts
might indicate a joint or extended family. Likewise, family
expansion might be expressed by the addition of a new court
surrounded by a similar pattern of activities and rooms.

In urban areas the traditional rural house was often repro-
duced in compressed form. Until the early twentieth century
(when such plots became scarce) palatial houses could still be
built facing south along Dhaka’s riverfront.4 But more general-
ly, the city developed along narrow, winding streets. Here, a
businessmen, craftsmen, or people from particular occupa-
tional groups needing road frontage for their livelihood occu-

Entry to such a Dhaka house was normally to a sitting
room (also named the drawing or living room), a feature syn-
onymous with the front or formal domain of the rural com-
pound. This was sometimes fronted by a verandah or court.
Behind this public area, the private part of a house would
surround an internal court, sometimes with a colonnaded
verandah. The inner court occupied the juncture between
public and private space, and served as the conceptual focus
of the house. Rooms, if not directly accessible from the
court, maintained a conceptual relationship to it, which
defined their degree of privacy. In an urban setting, such a
court also created a pleasant microclimate. At night it
allowed cool air to gather, and during the day it was shaded
by its surroundings. In the warm-humid climate of Bengal,
comfort requires constant ventilation, and the courtyard
induced air flow through adjoining rooms and spaces.5

Such a prototype dwelling took shape in a city that itself
exhibited certain distinct morphological characteristics. In
general, Dhaka’s urban development was based on two
indigenous concepts: chawkas (market squares), and mahallas
(clusters of houses around a chawk or along a street).6 Even
though mahallas were often not readily identifiable, they
served as important internal social enclaves.7

Over time, the city’s street pattern and subdivision into
building lots gave birth to two distinct types of mahalla. One
contained buildings that were deep and narrow — as much as
40m. deep — with a road frontage of approximately 2.5–3.5m.
and a height of up to four stories. This pattern took shape in
the pre-colonial period when the indigenous city was depen-
dent on natural and manmade canals for drinking water, waste
disposal, transport and communication.8 Each such long, deep
site had both formal (front) road access and informal (rear)
canal or service-lane access.9 The use of such plots for shop-
houses played a further role in establishing this pattern.

The other mahalla typology was more loosely structured.
Its dwellings could generally be found on regular (oblong)
plots. But because these were served by an intricate network of
lanes and by-lanes, the extent of individual parcels might often
only be perceived by the construction of peripheral walls.10
Such areas were basically developed for residential purposes,
using the popular and persistent courtyard form (fig. 3).11

Two distinct categories of people inhabited these areas.
Businessmen, craftsmen, or people from particular occupa-
tional groups needing road frontage for their livelihood occu-
pied the first type (and were predominantly Hindu). Well-off people and nobles related to administration, agriculture and trades (or foreigners, such as Europeans, Armenians and North Indians) usually inhabited the second type.12

COLONIAL ARCHITECTURE IN BENGAL

The architecture of Bengal evolved over thousands of years in response to local social, cultural and climatic demands.13 But as a result both of direct impositions and changes in social context, British colonial rule led to certain deviations from this evolution. In particular, attempts were made during the colonial period (1765–1947) to fit imported styles and forms to the Bengali context.14

In Dhaka no evidence remains of houses from the Mughal period (1608–1765). Civic and religious buildings like fort-palaces, mosques and kuttras were generally the only buildings made of permanent materials before and during this period.15 However, urban houses are thought to have been similar to their rural counterparts, except that they were relatively more densely built.16 British rule, however, introduced various policies that affected the life-style of many of the local people in the urban areas, and hence the houses they lived in.

Early colonial buildings were executed in the Neoclassical styles popular in Europe at the time.17 In Dhaka, this style first appeared in the city’s seventeenth-century churches, but it was subsequently applied to secular buildings as well. Eventually, by the middle of the colonial period, a new hybrid of Mughal and European-style architecture emerged. To some extent this overlooked the existing rich local tradition of architecture in brick. Even though brick was still extensively used, even in delicate patterns, it was usually plastered over with lime and mortar in the colonial manner to give the appearance of stone construction. The new style introduced such foreign stylistic elements as semi-circular and segmental arches; triangular pediments over Corinthian, Ionic or Composite columns; battlement parapets; traceroid windows; molded plinths; rusticated walls; and foliated decorative motifs. However, the buildings also made definite concessions to their location, and climate was a major inducement leading to the fusion of local and foreign elements. This eventually led to strange mixtures — for example, forcing imported styles to incorporate such local elements as overhanging eaves, wooden lattices, and verandahs. Such popular forms were gradually integrated into even the most grand and permanent buildings.

The emerging hybrid style, called Indo-Saracenic, became popular among those who could afford it and wished to display their power and status. Such buildings were built and used by the British, other Europeans, and the local elite. A number of influences contributed to the popularity of the new style among native Bengalis: the emergence of a local bourgeois class, the prestige attached to alien European forms, and government-encouraged Westernization in all fields. However, an in-built mental map always remained among local users, acting as a guide in their building activities. Buildings erected by the local elite were rarely direct translations of European models, but rather an exegesis of complex socio-cultural and political forces.

Colonial influences eventually led to the creation of two distinct new residential building types: the bungalow and the mansion. The bungalow was the first residential building type adopted by the British in colonial India. In contrast to crowded “native” dwellings, it embodied spatial separation and expressed the social and political divide between ruler and the masses.18 Located on open land with a front garden, early bungalows were single-story structures of simple symmetric composition, with a large hall or parlor in the center. Many had porticoes for carts — and later, cars. Bungalows expressed a clear separation between the ruler, or “sahib,” and native servants. In particular, the kitchen and the servants’ quarters were located behind the house. In this sense, the bungalow imitated the bipolar concept of traditional Bengali houses (even if toilet and washing facilities were located on the outside walls, attached to the bedrooms) (fig. 4).

Although based loosely on the British cottage, bungalows also integrated many local characteristics, including large verandahs. To the colonizers, these were primarily a climatic device,
but for the local people they also served as an important semi-private space. As a building type, bungalows eventually influenced local house form to the extent that they encouraged it to become more consolidated — i.e., to bring formerly detached rooms together under one roof. With the inception of social and technological changes, the bungalow form also became increasingly imposing and grand. However, the extroverted spatial arrangement of the colonial bungalow in the middle of an open plot, its consolidated form with rooms sharing common walls, and the attachment of sanitary areas to private rooms were all stark contrasts to the traditional Bengali house (fig. 5).

The mansion was the other main typology that resulted from colonial influence. By the end of the nineteenth century, many native Indians had begun cautiously to adopt European values and aesthetics. The first structures to undergo transformation were large local houses, which started to borrow facade elements and styles from colonial civic and administrative buildings. However, with further urbanization and the introduction of rudimentary town planning, new types of houses on regular plots also emerged. These small villas were draped with foreign decorative elements and were mostly owned by members of the local elite, and later the emerging middle class. However, spatially, they were still organized around internal courtyards for functional, planning and climatic reasons. Thus, even though they featured spaces such as entrance porticoes and living rooms or parlors furnished in European style, they still expressed a local sense of privacy — particularly for women.

THE URBAN ELITE AND THE MIDDLE CLASS

The spread of new house typologies among native Bengalis was facilitated by the creation of new social classes under colonial rule. With the decline of Mughal control, a new society gradually emerged in Dhaka on the ruin of the old royal aristocratic order. Prior to the colonial period, land had been the main form of property, and patterns of landownership formed under Mughal rule had determined the structure of society. But the arrival of the British changed this leadership structure markedly and eventually led to the creation of a new social elite based on mercantile activities.

Even though relatively similar numbers of Hindus and Muslims lived in this predominantly agricultural region, Hindus were the first to realize the possibilities for social mobility afforded by the new mercantile emphasis. Within
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**Figure 5.** Ahsan Manzil, one of Dhaka’s largest mansions, was the largest bungalow-style residence in Dhaka. Unlike most other mansions, it had no internal courts. Originally built by French traders, it was eventually bought, repaired and extended by Zamindar Ali Mian and used by the Nawabs. The mansion features a big living room, library, billiard room, and dining hall, all furnished in European manner. (Redrawn from S.A. Zahiruddin, “History of Architectural Conservation and Government Initiatives in Bangladesh,” in A.H. Imamuddin, ed., Architectural Conservation Bangladesh (Dhaka: Asiatic Society of Bangladesh, 1993), pp.86,88.)
their otherwise rigid birth-ascribed caste system, leeway for social and occupational mobility did sometimes exist — most significantly in the upper and middle castes. Thus, when their incomes could not support their desired lifestyle, many Hindus left their traditional occupations and sought new jobs or professions. Normally, such a failure to comply with prescribed ritual would have resulted in social ostracism, but in the changed environment of colonialism, the implications of such switches were often not clearcut.

For their part, Muslims were divided into Ashraf and Araf — high and low classes. Class affiliation was not based on a single occupation: some Ashraf were zamindars (landlords) or had been high officials under the previous reign; others were religious scholars. But by claiming noble ancestry, Ashraf maintained an attitude similar to that of high-caste Hindus — for example, refusing menial jobs, which they considered disgraceful. The Ashraf were contemptuous of the Araf, who were mostly workers. They were also conservative in nature, and sometimes obscured or misinterpreted religious rules and regulations to their benefit.

One of the most significant impacts of the colonial takeover was the gradual transformation of the existing feudal system. In the new capitalist environment, contact between zamindars and their land grew more tenuous. Many became infatuated with the pursuit of aristocratic values and adopted luxurious new lives in urban areas. From there, they came to depend increasingly on tax collectors, middlemen and touts for revenue collection. Zamindars also cautiously guarded their social status, and practiced intermarriage among families with similar status. And some collaborated with the colonial government and even helped the East India Company during the 1857 Sepoy Revolution. Such acts were aimed at retaining power and superiority, and in response, the colonial government often nominated zamindars to local governing boards.

The legal basis for this new landed aristocratic class was the Permanent Settlement Act, enacted by the East India Company in 1793. This act mostly benefited high-caste Hindus, who were already favored by the British for their performance and obedience. In effect, it allowed any rich person to buy land, and thus offered merchants the opportunity to rise socially by buying zamindary (feudal estates).

The rise of the new merchant group in the eighteenth century was facilitated by the great complexities involved with the local currency. This meant plenty of work for currency brokers, especially Hindu merchants, who could become rich through exchange transactions, and who could exert considerable influence on all who became indebted to them. This debtor group eventually came to include European traders and administrators who were unfamiliar with local weights and measures and monetary exchange and marketing systems. A significant section of Bengal's top social echelon emerged from among these currency dealers, and from the business group which benefited from their activities.

From the first quarter of the nineteenth century, the increasing involvement of Bengal in international trade also added to the power and fortunes of this new merchant elite. Following the introduction of railway, steamer and telegraph and development of the port of Chittagong, production increased greatly in such export goods as rice, cotton, indigo, betel nut, leather, hide, oil-seeds, jute and tea. Foreign traders needed the services of local people at different levels, and their businesses could generally only be run with the help of local agents, factory employees, and a contingent of militia. Banias, mutsuddis, gomosthas, paikers, dalals, kayals, sarrafs, mohrers, paiks, peadas (all high- and upper-middle-caste Hindus) were also all natives. With their earnings, some of them were gradually able to start their own businesses and join the business class.

In addition to this merchant elite, the Bengali middle class was also born out of socioeconomic transformations brought about by colonial rule. The centralized administrative policy of the British opened up many jobs in sectors like law, revenue, health, communication, police and education for local people who could speak English. As the colonial state apparatus continued to expand, vishayi bhadralok (materialistic gentlemen) readily accepted English education and formed the first generation of educated urban middle class. Initially, the majority were Hindu. For cultural reasons, Muslims were slower to embrace these opportunities. But educated Muslims who took such jobs in the colonial order were considered members of the same relatively open social group.

This newly educated class joined the already privileged mercantile and aristocratic elite atop the pyramid of Bengali society in the mid-to-late nineteenth century. Their formal education was augmented by exposure to books and media, visits to Europe, and interactions with foreigners. Since education allowed Bengalis to climb the rungs of the administrative and social ladder, the advantages of access to metropolitan social life were obvious.

Another result of exposure to European ideas was an awakening of nationalism, as the new educated classes became aware of revolutions in other parts of the world, political and civic rights, and self-reliance. One indication of this trend was a rise in various professional groups, especially among lawyers, teachers and journalists. But interest also grew in nonpolitical organizations and societies such as youth clubs, drama societies, etc. Liberal neo-religious groups like the Brahmas also began to form. Thus, at the same time that many of Dhaka’s urban elite and middle class were increasingly reaping the benefits of colonial rule, many also came to oppose it out of a renewed interest in nationalism.

THE MULTICOURT MANSIONS

At the end of the nineteenth century and the beginning of the twentieth this new Bengali elite watched as the colonizers erected superb structures incorporating European Neoclassical elements. Such manipulations of the forms and images of imperial glory had a vast appeal to the neo-elite, who aspired to acquire all the habits of the ideal civilized class — that of their British masters. This group
was trying both to rise to positions of leadership in local society and to consolidate their position within the colonial hierarchy. They saw mansions in the Indo-Saracenic style as an ideal way to express allegiance both to native tradition and colonial power.37

Typically, as explained above, Dhaka’s houses were of the single-court type. But in Dhaka the multicourt form emerged both from practical and socio-cultural concerns. From a climatological point of view, well-proportioned courts in these huge buildings made interiors more intimate and facilitated ventilation, lighting, and thermal control. And from a socio-cultural point of view, they assisted with functional zoning and privacy. In particular, since they made it possible to locate all rooms so they could maintain both a physical and conceptual link with a court, the multicourt arrangement allowed a traditional type of living and propagated a traditional image of the house. And, of course, the multicourt arrangement expressed a tremendous sense of grandeur (fig. 6).

Depending on the two morphologies of mahalla discussed earlier, Dhaka’s multicourt houses could either be very large on regularly shaped plots in residential areas (moderate villas, mansions, or institutional type buildings), or they could be very deep and narrow, located in busy commercial districts.38 However, as in single-court houses, each court formed its own zone with the rooms and other spaces that were related to it. And such a grouping or zoning would vary according to whether the court was primarily meant for formal-public, family-private, or service activities.

Typically, the multicourt mansions were two or three stories in height, with a lofty main entry. Their imposing facades might be set back from the street by an entrance court. In terms of their decorative qualities, the buildings borrowed heavily from established European vocabularies. To increase their visual power, they were often placed on high, molded plinths. A variety of column types were employed, often with foliated capitals. Columns might be grouped, placed on pedestals, or integrated with walls as pilasters. Traceroid windows, placed symmetrically in paneled walls, were also common — as was rustication, particularly for piers and at wall corners. Rooflines were often dramatized using battlemented or balustraded parapets with decorative elements at key locations. The tympanum panels of pediments were normally filled with floral patterns, and similar patterns appeared on friezes. Modillion or plain brackets were used to support cornices. Finally, a variety of arches were used to span between columns or over windows and doors. Some of the most common were trefoil and multifoil, three-centered cinquefoil, pointed Saracenic, pointed segmental, Venetian, equilateral, and semi-circular. Such arches would sometimes feature a protruding, decorated keystone. Window openings might further be incised with winding rope patterns.

Most of the mansions were constructed of brick, a material abundantly available, although wood was also widely used.39 Brick walls, 50cm. or more in thickness, were usually covered with lime plaster, and then stucco and other ornamentation would be applied to highlight the elegance of the mansion and the taste of the owner. Local craftsmen were used to create other high quality ornamental features, such as cast-iron pillars, ornate railings, wooden carvings, broken ceramic tiles, and terra cotta. Repoussé work was also introduced during this period, especially on balcony railings, posts, capitals, pilasters, drop walls and colonnades.40

Structurally, most of the mansions adopted the local purlin-and-rafter technology popularly known as the ganga-yamuna system. However, other techniques (e.g., wrought and cast iron and I-section beams), introduced by European builders, were also used to cover large spaces. In particular, these allowed flat roofs, rather than the pitched or vaulted roofs that were accepted practice among local builders.

In plan, the public parts of the building were generally laid out symmetrically to emphasize formal and ceremonial qualities. Such symmetry might be achieved by an entry foyer leading to a main hall(s) (sometimes double-story in height). These entry and living areas, along with the front courtyard, comprised the formal, male domain of the house. These areas might also contain guestrooms, offices, and guard rooms. And sometimes, the front section of a mansion might feature an additional formal court for community gatherings, business activities, or professional counseling.

The public areas of the house were filled with English furniture.41 Decorative elements might take several forms. For example, hunting had long been popular in Bengal among royal courtiers and aristocrats, and it was a popular pastime of the colonizers too. This meant the display of a hunting trophy in the living room was a perfect way to indicate acquired taste and class. Other common furnishings included large sofas or divans with pillows, gramophones, tiger and deer skins, mantelpieces, false fireplaces, wall clocks, painted portraits, brass smoking pitchers, photographs and banners. Such rooms became display centers of family wealth, status, achievement, association and connection.

The symmetry of the formal front areas of the mansion were often broken in the inner zones of the house for reasons of cli-
mate, function, or site configuration. The inner court(s) were synonymous with the female domain, and accommodated many informal and semi-private domestic activities. The arrangement of rooms here was similar to that in the traditional house — with individual private sleeping rooms surrounding the court and looking out onto a verandah. Similar layouts could be repeated in successive courts, with corridors providing a connection (and taking the place of the gaps between huts in the rural prototype). Service and ancillary facilities like sanitary and washing areas — and sometimes cooking and storage — were generally retained in rear courtyards, which might also include a guest and servants block.

In addition to the formal entry, the main family courtyard in many houses might be reached directly from the outside by means of a secondary building entry — more than one if the site lay adjacent to a secondary road or waterway. Likewise, if the mansion bordered more than one main road, equal importance would be given to its multiple main facades. Stairs would be located so as to serve the groups of rooms around the courtyards. Roofops might be used either as terraces to overlook the inner court or the front road, and thus would remaining semi-private or semi-public.

For all their monumentality, the mansions incorporated the same simple flow from court to verandah to room that characterized traditional houses. This transition was also evident in the lateral progression into the house: from public court, to semi-private court, to semi-private verandah, to private rooms. A key feature of this transition was a controlling mechanism, or “lock,” between the private and public zones. Based on this overall system of zonation, some authors have described the public rooms, or outer house, as a metaphorical window to the outside world, a medium of formal communication and interaction with society.

**Socio-cultural Components**

Houses thus conceived and constructed fulfilled many socio-cultural needs for those who lived in them. For example, one of the marks of the English-educated Bengali elite was to adopt a European way of celebrating various festivals and occasions like the New Years. Ball-dances were also held in the big hall rooms, and were equally attractive to the neo-elite. The introduction of large open spaces, entrance lobbies, and lofty hall rooms, and were equally attractive to the neo-elite. The celebration of native festivals and rituals was also still an integral part of Dhaka’s social life. For example, the Ashura had been celebrated at least from the time of the Mughals. After the demise of the Nawabs local leaders continued as patrons of such events. The long procession of people carrying the cenotaph and other icons was magnificent, and women and children could watch and cheer from verandahs and terraces. The gathering of people in a chawk or in front of the houses of the mahalla elite had great bearing on the design of the public parts of these houses. Though many of these rituals were religious in origin, they were pan-religious in celebration. For example, people from other religions would participate in and enjoy Hindu festivals like Durga Puja (worship of the goddess of war) and Lakshmi Puja (worship of the goddess of wealth). Gradually, it became the responsibility of the neo-elite to sponsor these events, and the outer courts of the mansions came to serve as the sites for such public festivals and rituals.

The courts had other, more private, religious purposes as well. For example, in the family courts of Hindu houses a small altar with a niche would be raised under a tulsí (holy Basil) tree, and it was a regular ritual in Hindu households to light a lamp in the tulsístala every evening to frighten away evil spirits. Courtyards also played an important role in secular social activities. Programs pertaining to marriage, birth and other such ceremonies, and even death, took place in the court. Both Hindu and Muslim marriage ceremonies were celebrated with great enthusiasm and social meaning, and the associated preparations and activities required a large open space in a house. The segregation of male and female participants at such ceremonies sometimes required the use of separate courts.

Other favorite pastimes of zamindars and aristocrats included cock and goat fighting. These events would usually be held in streets and chawks. But consideration was also sometimes made in house designs to accommodate them in a large outer court. Kite flying was another popular leisure activity, and was celebrated during a seasonal festival. In the urban context, roof terraces substituted for the open fields that had been used for this purpose in rural areas.

As can be seen from the above examples, the opposite pulls of tradition and Westernization forced the urban middle class and the rural-based elite living in the city to assume a dual life-style. Perhaps nowhere did these dichotomous values find expression more clearly than in the patterns of domestic living. Despite their Western outlook, the neo-elite drew clear boundaries in their mansions between formal and informal activity areas. As in the traditional setting, the degree of access a person was allowed was determined by their relationship to the household. This sense and degree of privacy was synonymous with the demarcation of male and female domains. In general, women had universal admittance to the internal family courts, whereas men had only selective admittance. But female household members were mostly confined to the boundaries of the house, and the court became their breathing space.
CASE STUDY 1: House of Reboti Mohan Das, or Sada Bari (White House), Jaluanagar

REBOTI MOHAN DAS, a moneylender and one of the first elected representatives of the Dhaka Municipality, was involved in many social activities. His mansion, built in the early twentieth century, was one of the grandest in Dhaka.

Das’s house was entered from the south through a portico. Just inside, an elongated foyer directed visitors both to the main living room and a staircase to the west. A second monumental entry on the west featured a slender, double-height colonnade cut by a curvilinear balcony. From here, a foyer led to a second living room adjacent to the first.

The three-storied northern portion of the mansion was a later addition by Das’s brother. It featured a third formal entry from the west, also employing a symmetrically arranged flight of steps.

There were five interior courts of various sizes in the complex, and three exterior ones. The first inner court integrated various semipublic activity spaces. A larger one to its north featured a colonnade on the ground floor. These two major courtyards were connected by a corridor running along their western edges. In addition, there was a secondary entrance to a small court with a verandah on the northwest side of the complex. This area was connected to the northern main inner court via both corridor and stair. The mansion also had a block projecting east from the main entry, used for servant and guest quarters. This portion of the complex was built around a semipublic courtyard, and was also approachable from the Dholai channel on the east.

Rooms of varying sizes were simply arranged around the internal courts. As mentioned, the house partly rose to a third floor. Stairs to the upper two floors were located in separate zones, and habitable rooms and terraces there were generally oriented toward the channel.
Ruplal and Raghu Nath, descendants of Mathura Nath Das, bought this house in the late nineteenth century from an Armenian merchant who had accumulated wealth and influence through the salt business. Das had a mint, and was involved in the batta system. With economic prosperity, he had started to issue hundis, but this never earned him aristocratic position. During the late nineteenth century, however, his sons Madhusudan and Swarup Chandra Das (the father of Ruplal) bought vast estates, making the family one of the most influential in Dhaka.

It was Swarup who commissioned the Martin Company of Calcutta to modify and extend the house. The resulting complex was considered second only to the Ahsan Manzil of the Nawabs. Among other activities that took place in the house were Oriental and Occidental musical soirées for the city’s social elite, arranged by Ruplal, a connoisseur of music. Both the city’s European and native elites were also invited to the house for a ball in honor of Lord Duffrin in 1888, an event facilitated by the addition of a wooden dance floor.

The complex could be approached either from the north from the main road or by a private entry on the south from the river. It consisted of three distinct blocks, with the most imposing western one belonging to Ruplal, and the eastern one belonging to Raghu Nath. The smaller central connecting block, the oldest part of the structure, had been built according to a bungalow pattern, without interior courts.

In contrast to the central block, the flanking blocks were built around inner courts. The north-facing entrances to the flanking blocks were both grand in scale and disposition, featuring double-height Corinthian columns with pediment and entablature. The entries led to living and public rooms. These formal parts of the house were connected by corridors to the internal courts, which were surrounded by a series of rooms.

The external treatment of the eastern block was slightly different from that of the west: its front entry had no arches, and its Corinthian columns were stouter — more like Indian columns. The internal courts in this block were of similar size, in contrast to the arrangement of courts in Ruplal’s block, where there was a clear separation between a main court and an oblong service court partially open to the riverside. Although neither flanking block connected with the central block at ground level, the upper floors of all three, used for residential purposes, were connected, and featured terraces with panoramic river views.

**Figure 8.** A) ground floor plan. B) articulation of zones. C) photo of main internal family court.
Chakrabarty, a schoolteacher, established a famous herbal laboratory in this building, which was served by roads on both south and east. The main entrance court on the south was created by setting the house back 11m. from the road. The entrance arcade, unlike that in the previous two cases, was only one story high. It led directly to a living room, which was flanked by public rooms. Several rooms on the eastern side of the house also opened onto a colonnaded verandah that connected to a secondary building. This secondary block, built on an extended podium, housed a community temple. The building's east-facing colonnade, the temple structure, and another later building used as gatehouse combined to create an oblong court which was used as a public space.

Within the main block there was a secondary, family court. A staircase restricted view of this space from the entrance. It was surrounded on three sides by corridors which gave access to rooms and stairs in the east and west wings. This courtyard was of moderate size and displayed a perfect human scale, providing excellent light and shading. Another court was to the north (back) of the house, which accommodated service spaces.
This structure, presently Kabi Nazrul College Hostel, was once owned by Zamindar Saha. The most prominent features of its facade were heavy square piers and a grand entrance foyer, distinguished by a convex protruding podium. The entrance was connected to a large verandah which was flanked by public rooms on either side. The verandah also led to a living room, beyond which was a corridor ran around the main inner court. The symmetrical arrangement of the formal parts of the house was not carried through to its private areas.

This house had four inner courts. A stair, used exclusively by women, separated the main court from a secondary family court. The rooms around this second court were small and did not relate directly with the main court and its rooms. Another court featuring an independent entry, was located at the northwest corner of the house, adjacent to the road. Together with its surrounding rooms, it was used for public and official functions. The southwest court was a subsidiary area for services, caretakers and servants.

The upper floors of the house had a generally similar spatial pattern as the ground floor. There were terraces on the south and southwest corners of the second floor, while the western portion of the house rose to a third floor.
This three-storied late-nineteenth-century house displayed a magnificent scale and proportion. Prasanna Babu was a moneylender and trader. His house was long and thin, approximately 12m. wide by 60m. long, and was built to the property line. The main road ran to the south, while a narrow secondary road ran along the length of the house to the east. A guardhouse, guestrooms, and office block front the entry. The central aperture through this block, flanked by low rooms on either side, led to the colonnaded public courtyard, from where grand steps led toward an entrance lobby, flanked by two small square rooms. These spaces formed the public zone of the house. From here, a central corridor with symmetrically arranged rooms to either side directed one back to the family court.

The second court occupied the northern half of the width of the house (the southern half being taken up by a room and a staircase). The central corridor extended further beyond this court to a large service court in the rear of the house. There were three staircases in three different zones: public, family and service. The house also featured a curved balcony with cast iron balustrades overlooking the commercial street in front. The front portions of the house were all two story, connected with each other by a colonnade. Part of the building is now three stories tall, but these areas were later additions.
CONCLUSION

Social and spatial structures, one abstract and the other material, are closely interrelated; however, in everyday life the experience of spatial formation is largely intrinsic.1 While environment modulates space, it is in turn shaped by society.2 Thus, society retains its basis in forming space, while space, ostensibly a physical entity, conserves the social structure that occupies it.

Starting from the Vedic period (2000–1000 B.C.), Indian villages were formed as clusters of huts arranged in a beehive pattern, many around courtyards. This revealed the beginnings of the social grouping known as grama. Even today, the physical organization of villages in Bengal, barring topographical constraints, resembles this Vedic pattern. While society has developed and consolidated from generation to generation, this basic image inherent in the culture has changed little. Even in the urban context, these powerful social influences have guided the development of physical patterns.

One direct consequence of colonial rule in Bengal during the late nineteenth and early twentieth century was the creation of an urban social elite. This class gradually infiltrated into the administrative machinery by dint of their education, employment, wealth, social position, and association with the British. One means they used to consolidate and express their new position was the construction of grand mansions. Yet contextual analysis of these mansions today shows that their expressive grandeur was blended with more utilitarian layouts that integrated the inherent norms of indigenous living, climate and tradition.

The case studies presented here from different parts of Old Dhaka show how imported elements were used primarily to drape the exteriors of these mansions, and that a traditional layout existed behind these facades. Such norms as space utilization, sense of privacy, domain division, orientation and climate control were strong and resistant to change, compared to short-lived stylistic importations.

Today urbanization in Dhaka has continued and has put tremendous pressure on residential land. As a result, house form has become even more consolidated, and buildings have increased in height. Nevertheless, the traditional image of living around a court continues to guide design decisions in urban areas, despite limitations caused by a lack of land, rigid road layouts and the expense of modern materials, building techniques, and services. Urban development patterns so far point more toward the transformation of the courtyard form rather than its disappearance. Even in the latest emerging morphology of multistoried flats, the court is being replaced by an internal family lounge.3

As a final note, it is ironic today that the dichotomy between foreign stylistic imports and internal indigenous form has resurfaced in the residences of a new class of Dhaka nouveau riche. Their houses, built since the mid-1980s, use many of the same colonial-import elements evident in the late-nineteenth- and early-twentieth-century mansions.4 These imports are once again being used to express the image of a social elite, alien to the local culture — only this time aspiring to the mantle of globalization. However, the internal forms of these contemporary houses are more Westernized than their predecessors (the mansions described here), perhaps because of the increased availability and affordability of modern and luxury materials and more real exposure and experience of Western living styles.

Multicourt mansions are no longer built in Dhaka. However, they deserve more study and attention, both as evidence of the evolution of local architecture and urbanism and as examples of good design in their own right. Restoring such buildings to their old uses or adapting them to new ones are practices much neglected in Bangladesh. Further study of these mansions may form the basis for such conservation activities.
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